

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fatent aith Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONED FOR PATENTS FOR PATENTS Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450 www.usprigorv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,040	09/28/2001	Max L. Musser	BELL-0124/01118	BELL-0124/01118 4570	
49584	49584 7590 03/01/2007 LEE & HAYES, PLLC			EXAMINER	
421 W. RIVE			wood, w	WOOD, WILLIAM H	
SUITE 500 SPOKANE. W	/A 99201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
or ordine.			2193		
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/01/2007	PAI	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/966,040	MUSSER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	William H. Wood	2193			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHOWHIC WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as on a sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEL	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Fe	ebruary 2006.	·			
· ·		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9,11-16 and 20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9,11-16 and 20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	<b>r</b> .				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notic						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: Requirement				

Art Unit: 2193

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-2, 4-9, 11-16 and 20 are pending and have been examined.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11-16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **APA** (Admitted Prior Art) in view of **Northcutt** et al. (USPN 6,678,741).

## Claim 1

**APA** disclosed a method for automating distribution of software in a fiber optic network comprising:

- (a) identifying software comprised on each of a plurality of firmware cards located in a multiplexor in the fiber optic network (page 3, line 21 to page 4, line 1);
- (d) identifying further software comprised on at least a further firmware card located in at least one of a plurality of optical network units that are connected to the multiplexor over a fiber optic connection and that art

Art Unit: 2193

connected to one another by an optical channel shelf provided by the multiplexor (page 3, line 21 to page 4, line 1; page 2, lines 17-18);

then determining whether there is another multiplexor in the fiber optic network and, if so, repeating the method for the other multiplexor (page 4, lines 6-9).

APA did not explicitly state determining whether the software comprised on the various cards located in the network unit is prescribed software version and updating it if not. Also, APA did not explicitly state updating for other network units. Northeutt demonstrated that it was known at the time of invention to check the firmware of a second unit to synchronize/update the second unit with a first unit (figure 3, column 2, lines 36-42). Further, Northeutt disclosed updating additional network units (column 8, lines 45-48). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the firmware of fiber optic system of APA with updating incompatible versions as found in Northeutt's teaching. This implementation would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to provide firmware which can successfully communicate (Northeutt: column 1, lines 32-43).

#### Claim 2

**APA** and **Northcutt** disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein identifying the software comprised on each of the plurality of firmware cards located in the

multiplexor comprises identifying a version for the software (Northcutt: figure 3, column 2, lines 36-42).

### Claim 4

**APA** and **Northcutt** disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein identifying the software comprised on each of the plurality of firmware cards located in the multiplexor comprises identifying software comprised on an optical interface unit card (APA: page 3, line 21 to page 4, line 1; page 2, lines 17-23).

#### Claim 5

**APA** and **Northcutt** disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein identifying the software comprised on each of the plurality of firmware cards located in the multiplexor comprises identifying software comprised on an optical multiplexing unit card (APA: page 3, line 21 to page 4, line 1; page 2, lines 17-23).

#### Claim 6

**APA** and **Northcutt** disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein identifying the software comprised on the firmware card located in the network unit comprises identifying software comprised on an optical interface unit card (APA: page 3, line 21 to page 4, line 1).

Art Unit: 2193

<u>Claim 7</u>

APA and Northcutt disclosed a computer readable medium having computer

executable instructions stored thereon for performing the method recited in

claim 1 (Northcutt: column 2, lines 62-63).

Claim 8

The limitations of claim 8 are substantially the same as for claim 1 and are

rejected in the same manner.

<u>Claim 9</u>

APA and Northcutt disclosed the method of claim 8, wherein identifying the

software comprised in the multiplexor comprises identifying the software

version (Northcutt: figure 3, column 2, lines 36-42).

<u>Claim 11</u>

APA and Northcutt disclosed the method of claim 8, wherein determining if

the software on each network unit is compatible with the software on the

multiplexor comprises determining if a software version on each network unit

is compatible with a software version on the multiplexor (Northcutt: figure 3,

column 2, lines 36-42).

Art Unit: 2193

## Claim 12

**APA** and **Northcutt** disclosed the method of claim 8, wherein identifying software comprised in the multiplexor comprises determining a version of software on a firmware card in the multiplexor (**Northcutt**: figure 3, column 2, lines 36-42; **APA**: page 3, line 21 to page 4, line 1).

#### Claim 13

APA and Northcutt disclosed the method of claim 12, wherein determining the version of software on the firmware card in the multiplexor comprises determining the version of software on at least one of a optical interface unit card and an optical multiplexing unit card (APA: page 3, line 21 to page 4, line 1; page 2, lines 17-23).

### Claim 14

**APA** and **Northcutt** disclosed the method of claim 8, wherein identifying the software comprised in the network unit comprises determining a version of software on a firmware card located on the network unit (**APA**: page 3, line 21 to page 4, line 1; page 2, lines 17-23).

#### Claim 15

**APA** and **Northcutt** disclosed the method of claim 14, wherein determining the version of software on the firmware card located on the network unit comprises

Art Unit: 2193

determining the version of software on an optical interface unit card (APA: page 3, line 21 to page 4, line 1; page 2, lines 17-23).

#### Claim 16

**APA** and **Northcutt** disclosed a computer readable medium having computer executable instructions for performing the method of claim 8 (**Northcutt**: column 2, lines 62-63).

#### Claim 20

The limitations of claim 20 are substantially the same as for claim 1 and are rejected in the same manner.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 16 February 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues: **APA** fails to disclose, "determining whether there is another multiplexor in the fiber optic network and, if so, repeating the method for the other multiplexor"; and no motivation to combine **APA** with **Northcutt**. These arguments are not persuasive. First, **APA** (page 4, lines 6-9) addresses "each ONU card and each muliplexor card". Second, **Northcutt** was cited specifically for teaching checking the firmware of a second unit to synchronize/update the second unit with a first unit.

Art Unit: 2193

Therefore, having addressed the raised concerns, the rejections are maintained as above indicated.

## Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Wood whose telephone number is (571)-272-3736. The examiner can normally be reached 10:00am - 4:00pm Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571)-272-3756. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)273-8300 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained form either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR systems, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. For questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

William H. Wood Patent Examiner

Page 8

AU 2193 February 26, 2007

Art Unit: 2193

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### REQUIREMENT FOR INFORMATION

#### 37 CFR 1.105

§ 1.105 Requirements for information.

(a)

(1) In the course of examining or treating a matter in a pending or abandoned

application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111 or 371 (including a reissue application),

in a patent, or in a reexamination proceeding, the examiner or other Office

employee may require the submission, from individuals identified under §

1.56(c), or any assignee, of such information as may be reasonably necessary

to properly examine or treat the matter, for example:

(i) Commercial databases: The existence of any particularly relevant

commercial database known to any of the inventors that could be searched for a particular aspect of the invention.

(ii) Search: Whether a search of the prior art was made, and if so, what

was searched.

(iii) Related information: A copy of any non-patent literature, published

application, or patent (U.S. or foreign), by any of the inventors, that

relates to the claimed invention.

(iv) Information used to draft application: A copy of any non-patent

literature, published application, or patent (U.S. or foreign) that was used

to draft the application.

(v) Information used in invention process: A copy of any non-patent

literature, published application, or patent (U.S. or foreign) that was used

in the invention process, such as by designing around or providing a

solution to accomplish an invention result.

(vi) Improvements: Where the claimed invention is an improvement,

identification of what is being improved.

(vii) In Use: Identification of any use of the claimed invention known to

any of the inventors at the time the application was filed notwithstanding

the date of the use.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,040 Page 3

Art Unit: 2193

(2) Where an assignee has asserted its right to prosecute pursuant to § 3.71(a)

of this chapter, matters such as paragraphs (a)(1)(i), (iii), and (vii) of this section may also be applied to such assignee.

- (3) Any reply that states that the information required to be submitted is
- unknown and/or is not readily available to the party or parties from which it

was requested will be accepted as a complete reply.

- (b) The requirement for information of paragraph (a)(1) of this section may be included in an Office action, or sent separately.
- (c) A reply, or a failure to reply, to a requirement for information under this section

will be governed by §§ 1.135 and 1.136.

[Removed and reserved, 62 FR 53131, Oct. 10, 1997, effective Dec.1, 1997; added.

65 FR 54604, Sept. 8, 2000, effective Nov. 7, 2000]

## Requirement for Information

Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the examination of this application. Upon review of the submitted Applicant's Response of 16 February 2006, it is determined that the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent before 28 September 2001 (application filing date). The specifics of the public knowledge or use indicated by Applicant's disclosure must be analyzed in a determination of applicability under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a,b). Specifically, in response to the requirement, Applicant is requested to provide above-referenced information (for example, know or used by others or patented or described in a printed publication) regarding the following features disclosed in the instant application:

Art Unit: 2193

1) "Existing systems have the capability to query a network element such as an ONU or HDT for the version of software running on a particular card on a particular machine" (page 3, line 21 to page 4, line 1).

Page 4

- 2) "These ONU's are connected to corresponding multiplexors, which may be referred to as host digital terminals ("HDT's"). HDT's may be located, for example, in remote terminals (RT's) that are connected through routers located in central offices (CO's).

  Generally, the ONU's and HDT's comprise firmware cards therein." (page 2, lines 17-23).
- 3) Each paragraph of the background section (pages 1-4) of the instant application.

Additionally, in response to the requirement, Applicant is requested to provide a factual statement explaining the identification of the other entities mentioned in the background section of Applicant disclosure (Marconi Communications Inc, page 2, line 14; "service providers", page 3, line 11; "existing systems", page 3, line 21). In so doing, Applicant should answer the following questions: are these entities different from the inventive entity of the instant application; and do these entities produce systems as described in the background section of the instant application.

In responding to those requirements that require copies of documents, where the document is a bound text or a single article over 50 pages, the

Application/Control Number: 09/966,040 Page 5

Art Unit: 2193

requirement may be met by providing copies of those pages that provide the particular subject matter indicated in the requirement, or where such subject matter is not indicated, the subject matter found in applicant's disclosure.

The fee and certification requirements of 37 CFR 1.97 are waived for those documents submitted in reply to this requirement. This waiver extends only to those documents within the scope of this requirement under 37 CFR 1.105 that are included in the applicant's first complete communication responding to this requirement. Any supplemental replies subsequent to the first communication responding to this requirement and any information disclosures beyond the scope of this requirement under 37 CFR 1.105 are subject to the fee and certification requirements of 37 CFR 1.97.

The applicant is reminded that the reply to this requirement must be made with candor and good faith under 37 CFR 1.56. Where the applicant does not have or cannot readily obtain an item of required information, a statement that the item is unknown or cannot be readily obtained will be accepted as a complete reply to the requirement for that item.

## Page 6

### Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Wood whose telephone number is (571)-272-3736. The examiner can normally be reached 9:00am - 5:30pm Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571)-272-3719. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)273-8300 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained form either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR systems, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. For questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

William H. Wood Patent Examiner AU 2193

February 8, 2007

MENG-AL T. AN

PARVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

"AI OUN CEVILED UT!